# Note on blocks of $p$－solvable groups with same Brauer category 

熊本大学理学部 渡辺アツミ（Atumi Watanabe）<br>Department of Mathematics，Faculty of Science<br>Kumamoto University

## 1

Let $p$ be a prime and let $\mathcal{O}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with an alge－ braically closed residue field $k$ of characteristic $p$ ．Let $G$ be finite group and $b$ be a block of $G$ with maximal $(G, b)$－subpair $\left(P, e_{P}\right)$ where $b$ is a block idempotent of $\mathcal{O} G$ ．For any subgroup $Q$ of $P$ ，let $\left(Q, e_{Q}\right)$ be a unique $(G, b)$－subpair contained in $\left(P, e_{P}\right)$ ．Following Kessar，Linckelmann and Robinson［4］，we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)$ the category whose objects are subgroups of $P$ and for $Q, R \leq P$ ，whose set of mor－ phisms from $Q$ to $R$ are the set of group homomorphisms $\varphi: Q \rightarrow R$ such that there exists $x \in G$ such that ${ }^{x}\left(Q, e_{Q}\right) \subseteq\left(R, e_{R}\right)$ and $\varphi(u)=x u x^{-1}$ for all $u \in Q$ ． We call $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)$ the Brauer category of $b$ ．Let $\mathbf{B}_{G}(b)$ be the Brauer category of $b$ in the sense of Thévenaz［10］，§ 47．The categories $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{G}(b)$ are equivalent．Let $R$ be a normal subgroup of $P$ such that $N_{G}(P) \subseteq N_{G}(R)$ and $c$ be the Brauer correspondent of $b$ in $N_{G}(R)$ ，that is，$c$ is a unique block of $N_{G}(R)$ such that $\operatorname{Br}_{P}(c)=\operatorname{Br}_{P}(b)$ where $\operatorname{Br}_{P}$ is the Brauer homomorphism from $(\mathcal{O} G)^{P}$ onto $k C_{G}(P)$ ．Set $N=N_{G}(R)$ ．The notations $R, c$ and $N$ are fixed．Thus $b=c^{G}$ and $\left(P, e_{P}\right)$ is a maximal $(N, c)$－subpair．The arguments in the proof of Theorem in Kessar－Linckelmann［5］imply the following．

Theorem 1 Assume that $G$ is p－solvable．With the above notations，suppose that $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(N, c)$ ．Then there is an indecomposable $\mathcal{O} G b-\mathcal{O} N c$－bimodule $M$ which satisfies the following．
（i）$M$ and its $\mathcal{O}$－dual $M^{*}$ induce a Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{O} G b$ and $\mathcal{O} N c$ ．
（ii）As an $\mathcal{O}(G \times N)$－module $M$ has a vertex $\Delta P$ and an endo－permutation $\mathcal{O}(\Delta P)$－module as a source where $\Delta P=\{(u, u) \mid u \in P\}$ ．

Let $H_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}^{*}(G, b)$ be the cohomology ring of $b$ in the sense of Linckelmann［6］，［7］， that is，$H_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}^{*}(G, b)$ is the subring of $H^{*}(P, k)$ consisting of $\zeta \in H^{*}(P, k)$ satisfying $\operatorname{res}_{Q} \zeta={ }^{g} \operatorname{res}_{Q} \zeta$ for all $Q \leq P$ and，for all $g \in N_{G}\left(Q, e_{Q}\right)$ ．We prove the following．

Theorem 2 Assume that $G$ is p－solvable．With the above notations，if $H_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}^{*}(G, b)=$ $H_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}^{*}(N, c)$ ，then $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(N, c)$ ．

## 2

We prove Theorem 1 using the following.
Lemma 1 (Harris-Linckelmann [3], Lemma 4.2) Assume that $G$ is $p$ - solvable. For any p-subgroup $Q$ of $G$, we have $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(N_{G}(Q)\right)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \cap N_{G}(Q)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \cap C_{G}(Q)=$ $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(Q)\right)$.

Proposition 1 (Harris-Linckelmann [2], Proposition 3.1 (iii)) Let G be a p-solvable group and $b$ be a block of $G$ such that $b$ covers a $G$-invariant block of $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$. Then $b$ is of principal type, that is, for any p-subgroup $Q$ of $G, \operatorname{Br}_{Q}(b)$ is a block of $k C_{G}(Q)$.

Proposition 2 (Fong[1]; Puig[9]) Let $G$ be a p-solvable group and $b$ be a block of $G$ with defect group $P$. Then the following holds.
(i) There is a subgroup $H$ of $G$ and an $H$-invariant block e of $O_{p^{\prime}}(H)$ such that $O_{p^{\prime}}(G) P \subseteq H$ and $\mathcal{O} G b \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\mathcal{O H e})$ as interior $G$-algebras.
(ii) $P$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $H$ and $P$ is a defect group of $e$ as a block of $H$. Moreover let $\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)$ be a maximal $(H, e)$-subpair and let $e_{P}=\operatorname{Tr}_{C_{H}(P)}^{C_{G}(P)}\left(e_{P}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\left(P, e_{P}\right)$ is a maximal $(G, b)$-subpair.

Note that in the above proposition $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}(H, e)$ since $\mathcal{O} G b \cong$ $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\mathcal{O H e})$ as interior $G$-algebras.

Proposition 3 ([5], Proposition 6) With the notations in the above proposition, let $R$ be a subgroup of $P$ such that $N_{G}(P) \subseteq N_{G}(R)$. Denote by c the Brauer correspondent of $b$ in $N_{G}(R)$, and by $f$ the Brauer correspondent of e in $N_{H}(R)$. Then $f$ is an $N_{H}(R)$-invariant block of $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(N_{H}(R)\right)$ and $\mathcal{O} N_{G}(R) c \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{N_{H}(R)}^{N_{G}(R)}\left(\mathcal{O} N_{H}(R) f\right)$ as interior $N_{G}(R)$-algebras.

The following is shown in the proof of Theorem in [5].
Theorem 3 (Kessar-Linckelmann) Let $G$ be a p-solvable group and $b$ be a block of $G$ with defect group $P$. Let $R$ be a subgroup of $P$ such that $N_{G}(P) \subseteq N_{G}(R)$ and let $c$ be the Brauer correspondent of $b$ in $N$ where we set $N=N_{G}(R)$. If b covers a $G$-invariant block of $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and if $G=O_{p^{\prime}}(G) N$, then there is an indecomposable $\mathcal{O} G b-\mathcal{O} N c$-bimodule $M$ which satisfies the following.
(i) $M$ and its $\mathcal{O}$-dual $M^{*}$ induce a Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{O} G b$ and $\mathcal{O} N c$.
(ii) As an $\mathcal{O}(G \times N)$-module $M$ has a vertex $\Delta P$ and an endo-permutation $\mathcal{O}(\Delta P)$ - module as a source.

Proof of Theorem 1. We prove by induction on $|G|$. Let $H, e, e_{P}^{\prime}$ and $e_{P}$ be as in Proposition 2, and let $f$ be as in Proposition 3. We may assume that $e_{P}$ 's in Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 are equal by replacing $H, e, e_{P}^{\prime}$ and $f$, by $H^{x}, e^{x}$, $\left(e_{P}^{\prime}\right)^{x}$ and $f^{x}$ respectively for some $x \in N_{G}(P)$ if necessary. By Proposition 2,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}(H, e) .
$$

By Proposition 3, $\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)$ is a maximal $\left(N_{H}(R), f\right)$-subpair and

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(N, c)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}\left(N_{H}(R), f\right) .
$$

So by the assumption we have $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}(H, e)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}\left(N_{H}(R), f\right)$. Since $\mathcal{O} G b \cong$ $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\mathcal{O H e})$ as interior $G$-algebras, the $\mathcal{O} G b-\mathcal{O} H e$-bimodule $b \mathcal{O} G e=\mathcal{O} G e$ and the $\mathcal{O} \mathrm{He}-\mathcal{O} G b$ - bimodule $e \mathcal{O} G$ induce a Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{O} G b$ and $\mathcal{O H e}$. Similarly the $\mathcal{O} N c-\mathcal{O} N_{H}(R) f$-bimodule $\mathcal{O} N f$ and the $\mathcal{O} N_{H}(R) f-\mathcal{O} N c$-bimodule $f \mathcal{O} N$ induce a Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{O} N c$ and $\mathcal{O} N_{H}(R) f$. Suppose that $H<G$. By the induction hypothesis for $H$ and $e$, there is an indecomposable $\mathcal{O H e}$ $\mathcal{O} N_{H}(R) f$ - bimodule $M_{0}$ such that $M_{0}$ and $M_{0}^{*}$ induce a Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{O} H e$ and $\mathcal{O} N_{H}(R) f$, and that $M_{0}$ as an $\mathcal{O}\left(H \times N_{H}(R)\right)$-module has a vertex $\Delta P$ and an endo-permutation $\mathcal{O}(\Delta P)$-module as a source. Set $M=b \mathcal{O} G \otimes_{\mathcal{O H e}} M_{0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O N _ { H } ( R ) f}}$ $\mathcal{O} N c \cong M_{0}^{G \times N}$. Then $M$ satisfies (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1. Therefore we may assume that $H=G$. Then $b=e$.

Let $Y=O_{p^{\prime}, p}(G)$. Then $b$ is a $G$-invariant block of $Y$ because $Y / O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ is a $p$-group. Furthermore we have $Y=O_{p^{\prime}}(G)(Y \cap P)$. Set $Q=P \cap Y$. Then $Q$ is a defect group of $b$ as a block of $Y$. Now since $G$ is constrained, $C_{Y}(Q)=C_{G}(Q)$. Therefore we see that $\left(Q, e_{Q}\right)$ is a maximal $(Y, b)$-subpair. By the Frattini argument and the assumption that $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(N, c)$,

$$
G=N_{G}\left(Q, e_{Q}\right) Y \subseteq N_{N}(Q) C_{G}(Q) Y \subseteq N Y \subseteq N O_{p^{\prime}}(G)
$$

So we have $G=N O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$. This and Theorem 3 complete the proof.
Proof of Theorem 2. We prove by induction on $|G|$. Let $H, e, e_{P}^{\prime}$ and $e_{P}$ be as in Proposition 2, and let $f$ be as in Proposition 3. We may assume that $e_{P}$ 's in Theorem 2 and Proposition 2 are equal as in the proof of Theorem 1. Since $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}(H, e)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(N, c)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}\left(N_{H}(R), f\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}^{*}(G, b)=H_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}^{*}(H, e), \\
H_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}^{*}(N, c)=H_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}^{*}\left(N_{H}(R), f\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the assumption, we have $H_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}^{*}(H, e)=H_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}^{*}\left(N_{H}(R), f\right)$. Suppose that $H<G$. Then by the induction hypothesis, $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}(H, e)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}^{\prime}\right)}\left(N_{H}(R), f\right)$, and hence $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)=\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(N, c)$. Therefore we may assume that $H=G$. Then $b$ covers a $G$-invariant block of $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and $P$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. Note that the element $b \in \mathcal{O} O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$.

From Proposition 1, b is of principal type. On the other hand, by Lemma 1, $\operatorname{Br}_{R}(b)$ is an $N$-invariant block idempotent of $k O_{p^{\prime}}(N)$ and $c$ is a lifting of $\operatorname{Br}_{R}(b)$ to $\mathcal{O} N$. So by Proposition 1, $c$ is also of principal type. So we may assume that $b$ is a principal block. Therefore by a theorem of Mislin [8], we obtain $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(G, b)=$ $\mathcal{F}_{\left(P, e_{P}\right)}(N, c)$. This completes the proof.
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